

DAVY UK DISCLOSURE OF TOP 5 EXECUTION VENUES 2017 (RTS 28)

RTS 28 Report for the period of 01 January 2017 - 31 December 2017

| Class of instrument | Other | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Notification if <1 average | No | | | | |
| trade per business day in the | | | | | |
| previous year | | | | | |
| Top five execution venues | Proportion of | Proportion of | Percentage of | Percentage of | Percentage of |
| ranked in terms of trading | volume traded | orders | passive orders | aggressive | directed orders |
| volumes (descending order) | as a | executed as | | orders | |
| | percentage of | percentage of | | | |
| | total in that class | total in that | | | |
| | Class | class | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Fidelity | 41.25% | 68.02% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 213800AAC1A44NYZDR77 | | | | | |
| Old Mutual Wealth | 29.32% | 19.96% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 635400C5JBLAZ15LIE80 | | | | | |
| Standard Life | 28.31% | 11.10% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| MU1J7DTC8IC8VMFT8818 | | | | | |
| Cofunds Limited | 1.00% | 0.85% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 213800XRUPSOZUPSF553 | | | | | |
| Novia | 0.12% | 0.06% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 213800N48MN2STVTAP44 | | | | | |

| Class of instrument | Structured Finance Instruments | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average | Yes | | | | |
| trade per business day in the | | | | | |
| previous year | | 1 | T | T | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| Investec 84S0VF8TSMH0T6D4K848 | 59.28% | 50.00% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Meteor Asset Management | 38.44% | 37.50% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2138008UN4KBVG2LGA27 | | | | | |
| Société Générale 549300C9XEU1GRJCOQ81 | 2.28% | 12.50% | n/a | n/a | n/a |

| Class of instrument | Equities | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year | Yes | | | | |
| Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) | Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class | Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class | Percentage of passive orders | Percentage of aggressive orders | Percentage of directed orders |
| Ingenious 2138002S9BLEO6AOXT43 | 100% | 100% | n/a | n/a | n/a |

J & E Davy (UK) Limited ('Davy UK')

RTS 28 Report for the period of 01 January 2017 - 31 December 2017

The publication of this information is required under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive 2014/65/EU ('MiFID II') which took effect from 3 January 2018. We note below the information required to be provided under Article 3(3) of RTS 28, providing a summary of the analysis and conclusions drawn from Davy UK's monitoring of the quality of execution obtained on the execution venues where it executed client orders in the previous year. The extent of information available for the period is limited by the data available prior to MiFID II taking effect.

| Requirements under Article 3(3) | Davy UK responses by class of instrument | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Other (Collective Investment Schemes) | Structured finance instruments (Structured Products) | Equities (Enterprise Investment Schemes) | |
| (a) an explanation of the relative | When we arranged deals in | When we arranged deals in | When we arranged deals in | |
| importance the firm gave to the | collective investment schemes | Structured Products we dealt | Enterprise Investment Schemes | |
| execution factors of price, costs, | ('CIS') e.g. Unit Trusts, OEICs and | directly with the product | ('EIS') dealt directly with a single EIS | |
| speed, likelihood of execution or | Investment Trusts, we generally | provider/administrator, who will | provider. There was insufficient | |
| any other consideration including | arranged the deals through a third | normally execute your order on an | volume of EIS investments arranged | |
| qualitative factors when | party such as a platform. In some | agreed 'strike date' as detailed in | in 2017 to draw reliable conclusions | |
| assessing the quality of | cases, deals were arranged directly | the relevant prospectus or other | about the quality of execution. In | |
| execution; | with the fund manager or operator | literature and in line with their | addition to Total Consideration, the | |
| | of the CIS. Our monitoring for 2017 | order execution policy. Your | provider's relative strength and | |
| | showed that reasonable steps were | payment has been passed on to the | experience in the relevant markets | |
| | taken to achieve best execution, in | relevant product | was considered to be the most | |
| | line with your client agreement. | provider/administrator in | important factor when selecting the | |
| | MiFID II requires us to take all | accordance with your Client | provider, followed by other factors | |
| | sufficient steps to achieve best | Agreement. In addition to Total | relevant to your order, such as | |
| | execution and our procedures have | Consideration, the nature of the | financial security and tax | |
| | been updated accordingly. | order is considered to be the most | considerations. | |
| | | important factor when selecting the | | |
| | CIS Providers generally calculate | third party product | | |
| | and set their prices once a day, in | provider/administrator. We are | | |
| | accordance with the FCA | satisfied that deals in Structured | | |
| | requirements, over which we have | Products have been executed in | | |

| | no influence. Therefore when selecting the third party with whom we arrange a deal or to whom we transmit an order, we considered Total Consideration to be the most important factor. However, we also considered the following: • the efficiency of transmission and execution (including method of transaction); • the nature and size of your order; • the likelihood of successful execution and settlement; and • any other relevant consideration to the execution of the order. | accordance with this principle in the period. | |
|--|---|---|--|
| (b) a description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders; | We do not have any close links, conflicts to execute orders. | s of interests or common ownerships with | respect to any execution venues used |
| (c) a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or nonmonetary benefits received; | Davy UK has negotiated discounts from standard charging with certain of the third party platforms where we place orders. Any such discount applies to the charges that customers pay for using the platform; no payments are made to Davy UK by any third parties with whom we place orders. Davy UK has a policy in place setting out our approach to giving or receiving gifts, entertainment or hospitality. | | |
| (d) an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change | As this is Davy UK's first report, there ar of Execution Venues. | e no changes to note in the list of executi | on venues as per our RTS 28 Disclosure |

| occurred; | |
|---|--|
| (e) an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements; | Unless we state otherwise in writing, our services are provided to clients on the basis that they are Retail Clients. This means that they are afforded the greatest level of protection available under the FCA Rules. As such, all Davy clients are treated the same under its Execution Policy. |
| (f) an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client; | As outlined in section (a) above, total consideration is the most important factor. Other factors are taken into consideration where appropriate. For example, CIS Providers generally calculate their prices once a day and we have no influence over the price secured. However, we do take account of the overall outlay for clients in selecting the third party with whom we will place your order or to whom we will transmit your order for execution. In addition, we consider the nature and size of your order, and, where appropriate, factors to the specific order. |
| (g) an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 [RTS 27]; | At this stage, we have no reliable data to comment on the quality of execution by third parties during 2017 and so our report for the period is incomplete in this regard. We will monitor the quality of execution by third parties with whom we place your orders or to whom we transmit your orders during 2018 and make any changes we feel are necessary. |
| (h) where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider. | Not applicable. |